

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/815,632	BURGARELLA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LaToya C. Younger	1743	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) LaToya C. Younger.

(3) _____.

(2) Joseph Haag.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney Haag was notified of the issuance of an Advisory Action in response to the After Final Amendment dated 11/10/05

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)